

Louisiana Tech University

Program Authorization: The Louisiana Industrial Institute, now named Louisiana Tech University, was founded by the Legislature of 1894 by Act No. 68, approved July 6, 1894. The Louisiana Constitution of 1974 established the current board structure for higher education: The University's operations are managed by the University of Louisiana System Board of Supervisors; and the planning, coordinating, and budgeting are managed by the Board of Regents. Louisiana Tech University is accredited by the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) and is a four-year degree-granting institution with a selective admissions policy.

Role, Scope and Mission

Role, Scope, and Mission Statement: Louisiana Tech University recognizes its threefold obligations: to advance the state of knowledge by maintaining a strong research and creative environment; to disseminate knowledge by maintaining an intellectual environment that encourages the development and application of that knowledge; and to provide strong outreach and service programs and activities to meet the needs of the region and state. Graduate study and research are integral to the University's purpose. Doctoral programs will continue to focus on fields of study in which Louisiana Tech has the ability to achieve national competitiveness or to respond to specific state or regional needs. Louisiana Tech will conduct research appropriate to the level of academic programs offered and will have a defined ratio of undergraduate to graduate enrollment. Louisiana Tech is categorized as an SREB Four-Year 3 institution, as a Carnegie Doctoral/Research University-Intensive, and as a COC/SACS Level VI institution. At a minimum, the University will implement Selective II admissions criteria. Louisiana Tech is located in Region VII.

The goals of Louisiana Tech University are:

1. To increase opportunities for student access and success
2. To ensure quality and accountability
3. To enhance service to the community and state.

OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Unless otherwise indicated, all objectives are to be accomplished during or by the end of FY 2002-2003. Performance indicators are made up of two parts: name and value. The indicator name describes what is being measured. The indicator value is the numeric value or level achieved within a given measurement period. For budgeting purposes, performance indicators are shown for the prior fiscal year, the current fiscal year, and alternative funding scenarios (continuation budget level and Executive Budget recommendation level) for the ensuing fiscal year of the budget document.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

1. (KEY) To maintain Fall headcount enrollment at Fall 2000 baseline level of 10,363 through Fall 2002.

Strategic Link: Goal 1: To increase opportunities for student access and success.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Objective 1.1 - To every citizen in a process of lifelong learning

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): *Master Plan for Postsecondary Education*: Goal I, Objective I.

Explanatory Note: While higher admission standards are phased in, the agency anticipates that the enrollment will maintain its Fall 2000 level.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage difference in Fall headcount enrollment from Fall 2000 baseline	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	Not applicable ¹	3.184%	0%	0%
K	Fall headcount enrollment	Not applicable ¹	10,363	Not applicable ¹	10,693 ²	10,363	10,363

¹ This performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.² Although this performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; Louisiana Tech reports this as the actual performance value for this performance indicator during FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

2. (KEY) To minimize the decrease in Fall minority headcount enrollment by 3.01% over baseline year (Fall 2000 level of 2,595) to 2,517 by Fall 2002.

Strategic Link: Objective 1: To Increase opportunities for student access and success

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Objective 1.1 - To involve every citizen in a process of lifelong learning

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): *Master Plan for Postsecondary Education*: Goal I, Objective II.

Explanatory Note 1: The term "minority" includes all racial categories with the exception of White Non-Hispanic. The racial categories are defined by the United States Department of Education and include the following: Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Black Non-Hispanic, Hispanic, and Others/Race Unknown.

Background Note: Louisiana's minorities continue to be under-represented in post-secondary education. Louisiana's institutions will continue to implement strategies to recruit additional minorities into the system and design additional programs to increase retention of all students.

Explanatory Note 2: While higher admission standards are phased in, the agency anticipates that the enrollment will maintain its Fall 2000 level.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage difference in Fall minority headcount enrollment from Fall 2000 baseline	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable ¹	10.25% ²	-3.01%	-3.01%
K	Fall minority headcount enrollment	Not applicable ¹	2,595	Not applicable ¹	2,861 ²	2,517	2,517

¹ This performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.

² Although these performance indicators did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; Louisiana Tech reports these are actual performance values for these performance indicators during FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

3. (KEY) To maintain the percentage of first-time, full-time entering freshman retained to second year at no less than 81.0% per year through Fall 2002 (baseline Fall 2000 level).

Strategic Link: Objective 1: To Increase opportunities for student access and success

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Objective 1.6.3 - Percentage of Louisiana residents who have graduated from a four-year college or university. Objective 1.8: To improve the efficiency and accountability of government agencies.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): *Master Plan for Postsecondary Education* : Goal I Objective III.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Retention rate of first-time, full-time entering freshman to second year	Not applicable ¹	81.0%	Not applicable ¹	81.6% ²	81.0%	81.0%
S	Number of first-time, full-time freshman retained to second year	Not applicable ¹	1,482	Not applicable ¹	1,504 ²	1,560	1,560

¹ This performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.

² Although these performance indicators did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; Louisiana Tech reports these are actual performance values for these performance indicators during FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

4. (KEY) To maintain the six-year graduation rate equal to the baseline year rate of 45.9% in Spring 2000 through Spring 2003.

Strategic Link: Increase opportunities for student access and success

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Goal 1, Objective 1.6.3: To increase the percentage of Louisiana residents who have graduated from a four-year college or university; Goal 1, Objective 1.8: To improve the efficiency and accountability of governmental agencies.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Master Plan for Public Postsecondary Education

Explanatory Note: Using IPEDS graduation rate data.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage difference in graduation rate from 1999-200 baseline year level	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	Not applicable ¹	0% ²	0%	0%
K	Six-year graduation rate	Not applicable ¹	45.9%	Not applicable ¹	45.9% ²	45.9%	45.9%

¹ This performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.² Although these performance indicators did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001, Louisiana Tech reports these as projected performance values for these performance indicators during FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

5. (KEY) To maintain 100% accreditation of the "mandatory" programs through Spring 2003.

Strategic Link: Ensure quality and accountability

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Goal I, Objective 1.8: To improve the efficiency and accountability of government agencies.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): *Master Plan for Public Postsecondary Education* ; Goal II, Objective 2

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage of mandatory programs accredited	Not applicable ¹	100%	Not applicable ¹	100%	100%	100%
K	Number of mandatory programs accredited	Not applicable ¹	46	Not applicable ¹	46	46	46

¹ This performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

6. (KEY) To increase the number of students earning baccalaureate degrees in education to 100 from 1999-2000 baseline year level (77) and maintain 100 earned degrees annually through Spring 2003.

Strategic Link: Goal 3 Enhance service to the community and state.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Objective 1.2 - To raise levels of language and computational competencies by high school graduation.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): *Master Plan for Public Postsecondary Education* ; Goal III, Objective 1

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
S	Percentage difference in the number of students earning baccalaureate degrees in education over the 1999-2000 baseline year level of 77 earned degrees	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	30.0%	30.0%
K	Number of students earning baccalaureate degrees in education	Not applicable ¹	108	Not applicable ¹	107 ²	100	100

¹ This performance indicator was not in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 11 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.

² Although this performance indicator did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001, Louisiana Tech reports this as a projected performance values for this performance indicator during FY 2001-2002.

DEPARTMENT ID: 19A - Board of Supervisor for the University of Louisiana System

AGENCY ID: 19A-625 Louisiana Tech University

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Louisiana Tech University

7. (KEY) To increase the number of students enrolled in alternative certification programs in education by 25% (over baseline year 1999-2000 level of 52) to 65 by 2002 - 2003.

Strategic Link: Goal 3 Enhance service to the community and state.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: Objective 1.2 - To raise levels of language and computational competencies by high school graduation.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not applicable

Other Link(s): Master Plan for Public Postsecondary Education ; Goal III, Objective 1

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage point change in number of students enrolled in alternative certification program	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	25.0%	25.0%
K	Percentage point change in number of students earning alternative certification	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	Not applicable ¹	Not applicable	45.0%	45.0%
S	Number of students enrolled in alternative certification programs (Baseline 52 in 1999-2000)	Not applicable ¹	53	Not applicable ¹	59 ²	65 ³	65 ³
S	Number of students earning alternative certification (Baseline 20 in 1999-2000)	Not applicable ¹	24	Not applicable ¹	20 ²	29 ³	29 ³

¹ This performance indicator was not in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001; therefore, it has no performance standard for FY 2000-2001 and FY 2001-2002.

² Although these performance indicators did not appear in Act 11 of 2000 and Act 12 of 2001, Louisiana Tech reports these as projected performance values for these performance indicators during FY 2001-2002.

³ The agency reports, "Enrollment is dependent upon Board of Regents approval of all University's redesigned Alternative Certification Pathways."

Explanatory Note: Unless otherwise indicated, the Board of Regents provided the general performance information (GPI), endnotes and source documentation. Because data related to higher education changes frequently during an academic year, this table is accompanied by source citations as well as run dates. Occasionally figures provided by the Board of Regents differs from those cited by individual institutions. This difference is generally related to variations in calculation methodology. However, the Board of Regents, management boards and institutions are addressing this issue.

GENERAL PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY						
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	ACTUAL FY 1996-97	ACTUAL FY 1997-98	ACTUAL FY 1998-99	ACTUAL FY 1999-00	ACTUAL FY 2000-01	ACTUAL FY 2001-02
SREB Category ¹	Four Year III	Four Year III	Four Year III	Four Year III	Four Year III	Four Year III
Admissions Criteria ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Student headcount ³	9,272	9,500	9,656	10,014	10,363	10,694
Student full time equivalent (FTE) ⁴	8,949	9,203	9,314	9,491	9,775	Not available ⁱ
Degrees/award conferred ⁵	1,689	1,754	1,800	1,756	1,772	Not available ⁱ
State dollars per FTE ⁶	\$3,873	\$3,889	\$3,716	\$3,713	\$3,588	Not available ⁱ
Percentage of SREB benchmark ⁷	87.9	84.2	76.8	70.9	66.4	Not available ⁱ
Undergraduate mandatory attendance fees ^{8a}	2,352	2,547	2,562	2,559	2,808	2,892
Percentage of SREB benchmark (resident) ^{8b}	116.9	116.7	109.1	103.7	106.1	Not available ⁱ
Undergraduate mandatory attendance fees ^{9a}	4,467	5,547	6,657	7,854	8,928	9,072
Percentage of SREB benchmark ^{9b}	62.7	69.6	77.3	88.7	98.7	Not available ⁱ
Mean ACT score ¹⁰	22.0	22.1	21.9	22.1	21.8	Not available ⁱ
Retention of first-time freshman from previous fall (Campus level) ¹¹	76.2	74.1	76.1	73.3	73.5	73.7
Retention of first-time freshman from previous fall (Public post-secondary system level) ¹²	83.9	82.2	84.0	82.8	81.0	81.6
Program Accreditation Rate ¹³	Not applicable ¹³	Not applicable ¹³	Not applicable ¹³	96.7	100.0	100.0
Three/six-year graduation rate ¹⁴	Not applicable ¹⁴	37.7	46.4	45.7	50.6	Not available ⁱ
Ten-year graduation rate ¹⁵	56.4	54.9	53.7	54.6	52.4	Not available ⁱ
Number of distance learning courses ¹⁶	Not available ¹⁶	Not available ¹⁶	Not available ¹⁶	11	26	25
Number of TOPS recipients ¹⁷	Not applicable ¹⁷	Not applicable ¹⁷	1,765	2,365	2,851	3,260
ACT level of student satisfaction ¹⁸	Not applicable ¹⁸	Not applicable ¹⁸	Not applicable ¹⁸	4.02	4.04	Not available ⁱ

¹ The SREB system for categorizing postsecondary education institutions is designed for use in making statistical comparisons among states and is based on a number of factors relevant to determining resource requirements. Differences in institutional size (numbers of degrees), role (types of degrees), breadth of program offerings (number of program areas in which degrees are granted), and comprehensiveness (distribution of degrees across program areas) are the factors upon which institutions are classified. Institutions are assigned to categories for a report year using the previous academic year's data on program completions. To keep the statistical comparison groups relatively stable over time and to assure that institutions change categories only when their measures on a criterion are relatively stable, institutions change categories when they meet the criterion for another category for the third consecutive time.

Four Year V - Institutions awarding at least 30 master's, education specialist, post-master's, or doctoral degrees with master's, education specialist, and post-master's degrees distributed among at least 5 CIP categories (2-digit classification).

² As used in this document, admissions criteria (yes/no) denote whether the institution has any required criteria for admissions other than high school graduate or GED. Examples of admissions criteria would include a minimum standardized test score (ACT/SAT), a minimum high school grade point average, the successful completion of a prescribed set of high school courses, and ranking in the graduation class.

³ Student headcount is a national standard used throughout postsecondary education. Typically, as in Louisiana, it reflects the enrollment as of the 14th class day (nine for institutions utilizing the quarter system) in the fall term (semester/quarter).

⁴ Student full-time equivalent (FTE) is normally defined for a state or a region. In the Southern region, SREB re-defined how an FTE student is calculated in 1996. Currently, an FTE student is defined as 30 student credit hours earned during an academic year at the undergraduate level or 24 hours at the graduate level.

⁵ Degrees awarded/conferred refers to formal degrees and other awards (certificates/diplomas) conferred during an academic year. As defined by the Federal Government, the time frame covered in any cycle includes degrees/awards conferred between July 1st of one year and June 30th of the next.

⁶ State dollars per FTE refers to the amount of money appropriated divided by the number of FTE students enrolled. The state dollars per FTE for Louisiana's institutions do not include certain non-formula items on formula campuses and do not include federal compliance funds. The data also include library and scientific equipment funds for FY1997-98 through 2000-01.

⁷ Percentage of SREB benchmark refers to how the Louisiana institution compares with the average for that category of institution throughout the SREB region.

^{8a} Undergraduate mandatory attendance fees refers to the amount of tuition and fees that an undergraduate must pay to attend that institution as an undergraduate student enrolled full-time, regardless of major. Particular degree programs may require additional fees. These are not included.

^{8b} Percentage of SREB benchmark refers to how the Louisiana institution compares with the average for that category of institution throughout the SREB region.

^{9b} Percentage of SREB benchmark refers to how the Louisiana institution compares with the average mandatory attendance fees for that category of institution throughout the SREB region.

¹⁰ Mean ACT score refers to the mean composite ACT score for first-time enrolled freshmen at that institution. It does not include scores of individuals who had their scores reported to the institution but who did not enroll.

¹¹ Retention of first-time full-time freshmen from previous fall refers to the percentage of first-time full-time freshmen who enroll at a specific institution in a particular fall who re-enroll at that same campus in the subsequent fall.

¹² Retention of first-time full-time freshmen from previous fall refers to the percentage of first-time full-time freshmen who enroll at a specific institution in a particular fall who re-enroll anywhere in Louisiana's public postsecondary education system (same or other institution) in the subsequent fall. (Does not include LTC)

- ¹³ The Board of Regents revised the definition of program accreditation rate in 1999. Considering the increased cost of seeking/maintaining program accreditation and the onerous requirements of some accrediting agencies, the Board of Regents and the Council of Chief Academic Officers initiated a yearlong process of examining the appropriateness and importance of program accreditation in those disciplines that offer accreditation. The process resulted in categorizing programs which can gain accreditation into three categories; mandatory, recommended and optional. The percentages reported in this document refer to those programs that appear in the category "mandatory". No data are reported in the years marked "Not Applicable" because the definitions and process changed in 1999, leaving previous years' data inappropriate and misleading.
- ¹⁴ The three/six-year graduation rate refers to the federal protocol for reporting graduation rates. These rates base students graduating in 150% of "normal" time, thus three years for those enrolled in associate degree programs at 2-yr schools and six years for those in bachelor's degree programs at 4-yr schools. The graduation rate reported includes only those students who earned a degree from the campus in which they originally enrolled and does not include any transfer student who graduated. No data are reported in the years marked "Not Applicable" because the definitions and process changed in 1997, leaving previous years' data inappropriate and misleading.
- ¹⁵ The ten-year graduation rate refers to a rate which the Board of Regents calculates using data from its Statewide Student Profile System and Completers System. Board of Regents' research indicates that the poverty rate (requiring most students to hold employment while in college), coupled with the educational attainment of the parents and need for developmental education, extends the time necessary to earn a degree. Additionally, the Board of Regents (as well as most states) believe that not including transfers who completed degree programs misrepresents the true graduation rates for the institutions and the state. Thus, the ten-year rate is a better measure of what actually occurs because it allows for the demographics of Louisiana and allows students who transfer within the state system to be included in the rate.
- ¹⁶ Electronic learning (distance learning) refers to technology-mediated instruction for students located at a site or sites remote from the instructor. Electronic learning includes both synchronous (real-time) and asynchronous (time-delayed) activities. The number of distance learning courses includes all courses offered through electronic delivery systems. These would include but not be limited to the use of compressed video, satellite, Internet, videocassette, and audiographics. Each course counts once, regardless of how many sites receive the course. The number reflects the courses that were offered during the fall term. No data collected in the years marked "Not Available".
- ¹⁷ The Office of Student Financial Assistance provided data on the number of TOP recipients to the Board of Regents. No data are reported in the years marked "Not Applicable" because TOPS was implemented in 1997.
- ¹⁸ An annual student satisfaction survey of currently enrolled students (using the ACT Student Opinion Survey instrument) is coordinated through the Board of Regents. Each 2-year and 4-year institution participates. The baseline for the survey was FY 99-00, therefore no data are available for the years marked "Not Applicable". Students rate "their college in general" on a five (5)-point satisfaction scale.
- ⁱ Data available by June 30, 2002.

GENERAL PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: Louisiana Tech University	
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	ACTUAL FY 2001-2002
Number of new patents	Not available ¹
Number of new business created that are directly related to the Governor's Information Technology Initiative	Not available ¹
Number of new jobs in information technology field directly related to the Governor's Information Technology Initiative	Not available ¹
Number of new degree and non-credit programs in information technology	Not available ¹
Number of new faculty in information technology fields	Not available ¹
Number of new students in information technology fields	Not available ¹
Number of graduates (undergraduate and graduate students) in information technology fields	Not available ¹

¹ This is the first year of implementation of the Governor's Information Technology Initiative. The information will be available after the end of the fiscal year.